

From the:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

Davies Collison Cave
GPO Box 3876
SYDNEY NSW 2001

20 FEB 2004

ARNO processed by *im*

on *23 02 04*

Action *19-01-04*

PTO 06

DEC 2004
PCT

WRITTEN OPINION
(PCT Rule 66)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
7829433ars

De to reply to
(25m 20-07-04)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

19 FEB 2004

REPLY DUE

within **TWO MONTHS**
from the above date of mailing

International Application No.

PCT/AU2003/000761

International Filing Date (day/month/year)

20 June 2003

Priority Date (day/month/year)

20 June 2002

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Int. Cl.⁷ B65B 1/06, B65B 1/28, B65B 37/02, G03G 15/08

Applicant

MEHAN, Terrence John

- This written opinion is the **first** drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.
- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 - ☒ Basis of the opinion
 - ☐ Priority
 - ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
 - ☐ Lack of unity of invention
 - ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - ☐ Certain documents cited
 - ☐ Certain defects in the international application
 - ☐ Certain observations on the international application
- The **FINAL DATE** by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is:
20 October 2004
The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.

When? See the **Reply Due** date indicated above. However, the Australian Patent Office will not establish the Report before the earlier of (i) a response being filed, or (ii) one month before the **Final Date** by which the international preliminary examination report must be established. The Report will take into account any response (including amendments) filed before the Report is established.
If no response is filed by 1 month before the Final Date, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.
 Applicants wishing to have the benefit of a further opinion (if needed) before the report is established should ensure that a response is filed at least 3 months before the **Final Date** by which the international preliminary examination report must be established.

How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.
 For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.
 For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis.
 For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: pct@ipaaustralia.gov.au
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

Authorized Officer

C. NGUYEN-KIM

Telephone No. (02) 6283 2121

I. Basis of the opinion**1. With regard to the elements of the international application:***

- ☒ the international application as originally filed.
- ☐ the description, pages , as originally filed,
 pages , filed with the demand,
 pages , received on with the letter of
- ☐ the claims, pages , as originally filed,
 pages , as amended under Article 19,
 pages , filed with the demand,
 pages , received on with the letter of
- ☐ the drawings, pages , as originally filed,
 pages , filed with the demand,
 pages , received on with the letter of
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
 pages , as originally filed
 pages , filed with the demand
 pages , received on with the letter of

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages
- ☐ the claims, Nos.
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig.

5. ☐ This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

** Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"*

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 – 13	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 – 13	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 – 13	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations

The following international search report citations have been considered for the purpose of this statement:

D1: US 5588473 A D2: Derwent Abstract no. 96-481506/48, JP 8244701 A
D3: WO 94/15864 A D4: EP 257683 B D5: DE 3210724 A

Novelty (N) Claims 1 – 13

The claims are not novel in the light of D1 – D5 all of which disclose all the essential features of the claims. For example, D1 discloses a dispenser device (36, 38, 40) including a dispenser body having an inlet end and an outlet end; a transport passage therebetween wherein the cross-sectional internal dimension at the inlet end is equal to or smaller than the cross-sectional internal dimension at the outlet end; the inlet end and the outlet end are, when in use, sealingly connectable with the filling vessel and the vessel to be filled, respectively.

Inventive step (IS) Claims 1 – 13

The claims do not involve an inventive step for the same reasons above.